

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/756,710	01/13/2004	Dmitry Rabkin	471.1003 DIV	2910	
21831 7	21831 7590 10/20/2006			EXAMINER	
WOLF BLOCK SCHORR AND SOLIS-COHEN LLP			STEWART, ALVIN J		
250 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10177			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738		
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/756,710	RABKIN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alvin J. Stewart	3738			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M.  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Di		pa.to quayto; 1000 0.21 11; 10	0.0.2.			
Disposition of Claims						
	4) Claim(s) <u>15,17-20,22-40,44-53,57-61,65 and 71-86</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15,17-20,27-30,36-40</u>	<i>),44-47,51-53,57-61 and 72-86</i> is	/are withdrawn from			
	consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 22-26, 31, 33-35, 48-50, 65, 71 and 87 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>32</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
الــا(ە	claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 13 January 2004 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected	to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)(	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice 3) Information	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 2

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 71 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Edwin et al US Patent 6,623,519 B2.

Edwin et al discloses an elongated catheter, a thermal transfer device, the device comprises means for effecting local heat transfer with a stent, the device includes a thermal transfer surface, means for positioning the thermal transfer surface in local thermal transfer relationship with a stent and the device includes means for circulating a thermal transfer fluid through the chamber.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saab US Patent 5,902,268 in view of Edwin et al US Patent 6,623,519 B2.

Saab discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Saab does not disclose a stent that a thermal energy is transfer from a circulation means.

Edwin et al teaches a catheter having a thermal transfer device located in the distal portion of the catheter having a circulation system and a stent for the purpose of deploying, readjusting and/or removing a stent.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Saab reference with the catheter-stent system of the Edwin et al reference in order to deploy, readjust and/or remove a stent.

NOTE: In order to overcome the 102 and 103 rejections the applicant's representative should enter the following in claim 22, line 11 and claim 71, line 7: between the word "assembly" and "for" please insert the following in order to distinguish between the prior art and application: --- and proximal to the thermal transfer device---.

#### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 22, 24-26, 31, 33-35, 48-50, 65, 71 and 87 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 8-13, 16, 17, and 22-25 of U.S. Patent No. 6,676,692 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both cases disclose all the structure limitations claimed in the Applicant's claims.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J. Stewart whose telephone number is 571-272-4760. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/756,710

Art Unit: 3738

A. Stul

ALVIN J. STEWART PRIMAPM最初期以ER

October 16, 2006.

Page 5